

May 2012

Draft IFRIC Interpretation DI/2012/2

Put Options Written on Non-controlling Interests

Comments to be received by 1 October 2012

[Draft] IFRIC INTERPRETATION

Put Options Written on Non-controlling Interests

Comments to be received by 1 October 2012

DI/2012/2

Draft IFRIC Interpretation DI/2012/2 *Put Options Written on Non-controlling Interests* is published by the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB) for comment only. Comments on the draft Interpretation should be sent in writing so as to be received by **1 October 2012**. Respondents are asked to send their comments electronically to the IFRS Foundation website (www.ifrs.org) using the 'Open to Comments' page with a copy emailed to ifric@ifrs.org.

All responses will be put on the public record unless the respondent requests confidentiality. However, such requests will not normally be granted unless supported by good reason, such as commercial confidence.

The IASB, the International Accounting Standards Committee Foundation (IASCF), the authors and the publishers do not accept responsibility for loss caused to any person who acts or refrains from acting in reliance on the material in this publication, whether such loss is caused by negligence or otherwise.

Copyright © 2012 IFRS Foundation[®]

ISBN: 978-1-907877-61-2

All rights reserved. Copies of the draft Interpretation may be made for the purpose of preparing comments to be submitted to the IASB, provided such copies are for personal or intra-organisational use only and are not sold or disseminated and provided each copy acknowledges the IFRS Foundation's copyright and sets out the IASB's address in full. Otherwise, no part of this publication may be translated, reprinted or reproduced or utilised in any form either in whole or in part or by any electronic, mechanical or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including photocopying and recording, or in any information storage and retrieval system, without prior permission in writing from the IFRS Foundation.



The IFRS Foundation logo/the IASB logo/'Hexagon Device', 'IFRS Foundation', 'eIFRS', 'IAS', 'IASB', 'IASCF', 'IFRS for SMEs', 'IASs', 'IFRIC', 'IFRS', 'IFRSs', 'International Accounting Standards', 'International Financial Reporting Standards' and 'SIC' are Trade Marks of the IFRS Foundation.

Additional copies of this publication may be obtained from:
IFRS Foundation Publications Department,
1st Floor, 30 Cannon Street, London EC4M 6XH, United Kingdom.
Tel: +44 (0)20 7332 2730 Fax: +44 (0)20 7332 2749
Email: publications@ifrs.org Web: www.ifrs.org

CONTENTS

INVITATION TO COMMENT

[DRAFT] IFRIC INTERPRETATION X
PUT OPTIONS WRITTEN ON NON-CONTROLLING INTERESTS

APPENDIX A—EFFECTIVE DATE AND TRANSITION

BASIS FOR CONCLUSIONS

INVITATION TO COMMENT

The International Accounting Standards Board's IFRS Interpretations Committee invites comments on any aspect of this draft Interpretation *Put Options Written on Non-controlling Interests*. Comments are most helpful if they indicate the specific paragraph to which they relate, contain a clear rationale and, when applicable, provide a suggestion for alternative wording.

Comments should be submitted in writing so as to be received no later than **1 October 2012**.

Question 1—Scope

The draft Interpretation would apply, in the parent's consolidated financial statements, to put options that oblige the parent to purchase shares of its subsidiary that are held by a non-controlling-interest shareholder for cash or another financial asset (NCI puts). However, the draft Interpretation would not apply to NCI puts that were accounted for as contingent consideration in accordance with IFRS 3 *Business Combinations* (2004) because IFRS 3 (2008) provides the relevant measurement requirements for those contracts.

Do you agree with the proposed scope? If not, what do you propose and why?

Question 2—Consensus

The consensus in the draft Interpretation (paragraphs 7 and 8) provides guidance on the accounting for the subsequent measurement of the financial liability that is recognised for an NCI put. Changes in the measurement of that financial liability would be required to be recognised in profit or loss in accordance with IAS 39 *Financial Instruments: Recognition and Measurement* and IFRS 9 *Financial Instruments*.

Do you agree with the consensus proposed in the draft Interpretation? If not, why and what alternative do you propose?

Question 3—Transition

Entities would be required to apply the draft Interpretation retrospectively in accordance with IAS 8 *Accounting Policies, Changes in Accounting Estimates and Errors*.

Do you agree with the proposed transition requirements? If not, what do you propose and why?

[Draft] IFRIC Interpretation *Put Options Written on Non-controlling Interests* (IFRIC X) is set out in paragraphs 1–8 and Appendix A, and is accompanied by a Basis for Conclusions. The scope and authority of Interpretations are set out in paragraphs 2 and 7–16 of the *Preface to International Financial Reporting Standards*.

[DRAFT] IFRIC INTERPRETATION X

PUT OPTIONS WRITTEN ON NON-CONTROLLING INTERESTS

References

- IAS 27 *Consolidated and Separate Financial Statements* or IFRS 10 *Consolidated Financial Statements*
- IAS 32 *Financial Instruments: Presentation*
- IAS 39 *Financial Instruments: Recognition and Measurement* or IFRS 9 *Financial Instruments*
- IFRS 3 *Business Combinations*

Background

- 1 A parent may write a put option on the shares of its subsidiary held by a non-controlling-interest shareholder that obliges the parent to purchase those shares for cash or another financial asset. That put option may be written as part of, or separately from, a business combination in which the parent obtains control of the subsidiary.
- 2 In the consolidated financial statements, that put option is a contract to purchase the group's own equity instruments and thus gives rise to a financial liability for the present value of the redemption amount in accordance with paragraph 23 in IAS 32. When the financial liability is recognised initially, that amount is reclassified from equity. IAS 32 requires that the financial liability is subsequently measured in accordance with IAS 39 or IFRS 9.
- 3 IAS 39 and IFRS 9 require that all changes in the measurement of such financial liabilities are recognised in profit or loss. However IAS 27 and IFRS 10 require that changes in a parent's ownership interest in a subsidiary that do not result in a loss of control are accounted for as equity transactions (ie transactions with owners in their capacity as owners).

Scope

- 4 The [draft] Interpretation applies, in the parent's consolidated financial statements, to put options that oblige the parent to purchase shares of its subsidiary that are held by a non-controlling-interest shareholder for cash or another financial asset (NCI puts).
- 5 However, the [draft] Interpretation does not apply to NCI puts that were accounted for as contingent consideration in accordance with IFRS 3 (2004). IFRS 3 (2008) provides the relevant measurement requirements for those contracts.

Issues

- 6 This [draft] Interpretation addresses how to account for changes in the measurement of the financial liability that is recognised for an NCI put.

Consensus

- 7 In accordance with paragraph 23 in IAS 32, an NCI put gives rise to a financial liability that is initially measured at the present value of the redemption amount in the parent's consolidated financial statements. Subsequently, the financial liability is measured in accordance with IAS 39 or IFRS 9. Paragraphs 55 and 56 in IAS 39 and paragraphs 5.7.1 and 5.7.2 in IFRS 9 require that changes in the measurement of that financial liability are recognised in profit or loss.
- 8 The changes in the measurement of that financial liability do not change the relative interests in the subsidiary that are held by the parent and the non-controlling-interest shareholder and therefore are not equity transactions (ie they are not transactions with owners in their capacity as owners) as described in paragraph 30 in IAS 27 or paragraph 23 in IFRS 10.

Appendix A

Effective date and transition

This appendix is an integral part of the [draft] Interpretation and has the same authority as the other parts of the [draft] Interpretation.

- A1 An entity shall apply this [draft] Interpretation for annual periods beginning on or after [date]. Earlier application is permitted. If an entity applies this [draft] Interpretation for an earlier period, it shall disclose that fact.
- A2 This [draft] Interpretation shall be applied retrospectively in accordance with IAS 8 *Accounting Policies, Changes in Accounting Estimates and Errors*.

Basis for Conclusions on IFRIC X Put Options Written on Non-controlling Interests

This Basis for Conclusions accompanies, but is not part of, [draft] IFRIC X.

Introduction

BC1 This Basis for Conclusions summarises the IFRS Interpretations Committee's considerations in reaching its [draft] consensus. Individual Committee members gave greater weight to some factors than to others.

Background

BC2 The IFRS Interpretations Committee received a request to clarify the accounting for put options written on shares in a subsidiary held by the non-controlling-interest shareholders in the consolidated financial statements of the controlling shareholder.

BC3 In accordance with paragraph 23 in IAS 32 *Financial Instruments: Presentation*, if the parent is obliged to purchase the shares for cash or another financial asset, the put option gives rise to a financial liability in the parent's consolidated financial statements for the present value of the redemption amount (the option exercise price). That is because the put option is a contract to purchase the group's own equity instruments in exchange for cash or another financial asset. When the financial liability is recognised initially, the amount is reclassified from equity.

BC4 Interested parties expressed concerns to the Interpretations Committee about the diversity in accounting for the subsequent measurement of that financial liability. The issue arises because of a potential inconsistency between the requirements in IAS 39 *Financial Instruments: Recognition and Measurement* and IFRS 9 *Financial Instruments* for subsequently measuring financial liabilities and the requirements in IAS 27 *Consolidated and Separate Financial Statements* and IFRS 10 *Consolidated Financial Statements* for accounting for transactions with owners in their capacity as owners. Specifically, some constituents believe that changes in the measurement of the financial liability that is recognised for the put option should be recognised in profit or loss in accordance with IAS 39 and IFRS 9, but others believe that those changes should be recognised directly in equity because of the guidance in IAS 27 and IFRS 10.

BC5 The Interpretations Committee decided to develop a [draft] Interpretation in response to that diversity in practice.

Scope

- BC6 The [draft] Interpretation applies, in the parent's consolidated financial statements, to put options that oblige the parent to purchase shares of its subsidiary held by a non-controlling-interest shareholder for cash or another financial asset (NCI puts).
- BC7 However, the [draft] Interpretation does not apply to NCI puts that had been issued as part of a business combination that occurred before the application of IFRS 3 *Business Combinations* (2008) and were accounted for as contingent consideration in accordance with IFRS 3 (2004). The Interpretations Committee noted that those put options were excluded from the scope of IAS 32 and IAS 39 because the accounting for contingent consideration was set out in IFRS 3 (2004). In accordance with IFRS 3 (2004), changes in the measurement of contingent consideration were treated as an adjustment to the cost of the business combination. When the Board revised IFRS 3 in 2008, it did not change the accounting for contingent consideration that arose from a business combination that occurred before the application of IFRS 3 (2008). Consequently, the Interpretations Committee decided that this [draft] Interpretation should not change the accounting for those contracts.

Consensus

Subsequent measurement of the liability that is recognised for an NCI put

- BC8 The Interpretations Committee noted that paragraph 30 in IAS 27 and paragraph 23 in IFRS 10 give guidance on the accounting in circumstances in which the respective ownership interests of the parent and non-controlling-interest shareholder change. The Interpretations Committee also noted that the NCI put gives rise to a financial liability, which reflects the parent's obligation to pay the option's exercise price, and the remeasurement of that financial liability does not change the respective ownership interests of the parent or the non-controlling-interest shareholder. Consequently, the Interpretations Committee decided that these two paragraphs are not relevant to the remeasurement of the financial liability that is recognised for an NCI put.

- BC9 The Interpretations Committee decided that the financial liability that is recognised for an NCI put should be accounted for consistently with all other such financial liabilities that are within the scope of IAS 39 and IFRS 9 and thus changes in the measurement of that financial liability must be recognised in profit or loss.
- BC10 The Interpretations Committee further noted that the [draft] Interpretation is consistent with the accounting requirements for other put options and forward contracts that oblige an entity to purchase its own equity instruments for cash or other financial assets. Paragraph 23 in IAS 32 provides guidance that is specific to these contracts and states that they are subsequently measured in accordance with IAS 39 or IFRS 9.
- BC11 The Interpretations Committee acknowledged that some interested parties believe that the requirements in IAS 32, to measure particular derivatives written on an entity's own equity instruments on a gross basis at the present value of the redemption amount, does not result in useful information. Those interested parties believe that some or all such derivatives should be measured on a net basis at fair value, consistently with derivatives that are within the scope of IAS 39 and IFRS 9. The Interpretations Committee agreed with that view and recommended that the Board should propose a narrow scope amendment to IAS 32 that would change the measurement basis of NCI puts in the parent's consolidated financial statements. Under the Interpretations Committee's recommendation, IAS 32 (including the requirement in paragraph 23 to recognise a financial liability at the present value of the redemption amount) would not apply to such NCI puts. Instead, the requirements in IAS 39 or IFRS 9 for derivatives would apply and, as a result, such NCI puts would be measured on a net basis at fair value. However, the Board decided not to proceed with the Interpretations Committee's recommendation. The Board questioned whether NCI puts should be treated differently from other derivatives written on an entity's own equity instruments. The Board noted that the criticisms about the usefulness of the information provided by the gross measurement basis required by paragraph 23 in IAS 32 are equally applicable to all put options and forward purchase contracts written on an entity's own equity (and not only to NCI puts). The Board noted that, ideally, the accounting for derivatives written on an entity's own equity instruments should be addressed comprehensively within the context of its project on Financial Instruments with Characteristics of Equity. Although the Board decided not to amend IAS 32 to change the accounting for NCI puts at this time,

it asked the Interpretations Committee to address the issue that was submitted by clarifying the existing accounting requirements for the subsequent measurement of the financial liability that is recognised for an NCI put.

Transition

- BC12 The Interpretations Committee decided that entities will have all of the necessary information to apply this [draft] Interpretation retrospectively in accordance with IAS 8 *Accounting Policies, Changes in Accounting Estimates and Errors*. The Interpretations Committee noted that this [draft] Interpretation will change where particular amounts are recognised in the financial statements, but will not change the computation of those amounts.

Other issues related to the accounting for NCI puts

- BC13 The Interpretations Committee is aware that there are broader questions related to the requirements in IAS 32 to measure particular derivatives written on an entity's own equity instruments at the present value of the redemption amount, including which component of equity should be debited at initial recognition. The Interpretations Committee did not address those wider-reaching issues because the Board asked the Interpretations Committee to address only the narrow issue that was submitted, by clarifying the accounting for subsequently measuring the financial liability that is recognised for an NCI put.