ED-EXPERTS: RESPONSE TEMPLATE

April 2025

RESPONSE TEMPLATE FOR THE ED OF PROPOSED NARROW-SCOPE AMENDMENTS TO IAASB STANDARDS ARISING FROM THE IESBA'S USING THE WORK OF AN EXTERNAL EXPERT PROJECT

Guide for Respondents

Comments are requested by July 24, 2025.

This template is for providing comments on the Exposure Draft (ED) of proposed Narrow-Scope Amendments to International Auditing and Assurance Standards Board® (IAASB®) Standards Arising from the International Ethics Standards Board for Accountants' (IESBA) Using the Work of an External Expert project, in response to the questions set out in the Explanatory Memorandum (EM) to the ED. It also allows for respondent details, demographics and other comments to be provided. Use of the template will facilitate the IAASB's automated collation of the responses.

You may respond to all questions or only selected questions.

To assist our consideration of your comments, please:

- For each question, start by indicating your overall response using the drop-down menu under each question. Then below that include any detailed comments, as indicated.
- When providing comments:
 - Respond directly to the questions.
 - Provide the rationale for your answers. If you disagree with the proposals in the ED, please provide specific reasons for your disagreement and specific suggestions for changes that may be needed to the requirements, application material or appendices. If you agree with the proposals, it will be helpful for the IAASB to be made aware of this view.
 - o Identify the specific aspects of the ED that your response relates to, for example, by reference to sections, headings or specific paragraphs in the ED.
 - O Avoid inserting tables or text boxes in the template when providing your responses to the questions because this will complicate the automated collation of the responses.
- Submit your comments, using the response template only, without a covering letter or any summary of your key issues, instead identify any key issues, as far as possible, in your responses to the questions.

The response template provides the opportunity to provide details about your organization and, should you choose to do so, any other matters not raised in specific questions that you wish to place on the public record. All responses will be considered a matter of public record and will ultimately be posted on the IAASB website.

Use the "Submit Comment" button on the ED web page to upload the completed template.



Responses to IAASB's Request for Comments in the EM for the ED, Proposed Narrow-Scope Amendments to IAASB Standards Arising from the IESBA's Using the Work of an External Expert Project

PART A: Respondent Details and Demographic information

Your organization's name (or your name if you are making a submission in your personal capacity)	Institute of Singapore Chartered Accountants (ISCA)
Name(s) of person(s) responsible for this submission (or leave blank if the same as above)	Terence Lam Alice Tan Wang Zhumei
Name(s) of contact(s) for this submission (or leave blank if the same as above)	
E-mail address(es) of contact(s)	terence.lam@isca.org.sg alice.tan@isca.org.sg zhumei.wang@isca.org.sg
Geographical profile that best represents your situation (i.e., from which geographical	Asia Pacific
perspective are you providing feedback on the ED). Select the most appropriate option.	If "Other", please clarify
The stakeholder group to which you belong (i.e., from which perspective are you providing feedback on the ED). Select the	Professional accountancy or other professional organization (PAO or similar) If "Other", please specify
most appropriate option. Should you choose to do so, you may include information about your organization (or yourself, as applicable).	ii Ouloi , picase specify

Should you choose to do so, you may provide overall views or additional background to your submission. **Please note that this is optional**. The IAASB's preference is that you incorporate all your views in your comments to the questions (also, the last question in Part B allows for raising any other matters in relation to the ED).

Information, if any, not already included in responding to the questions in Parts B and C:



PART B: Responses to Questions in the EM for the ED

For each question, please start with your overall response by selecting one of the items in the dropdown list under the question. Provide your detailed comments, if any, below as indicated.

Overall Question

Public Interest Responsiveness

1. Do you agree that the proposed narrow-scope amendments are responsive to the public interest, considering the qualitative standard-setting characteristics and standard-setting actions in the project proposal? If not, why not?

(See EM, Section 1-A)

Overall response: <u>Agree (with no further comments)</u>

Detailed comments (if any):

Specific Questions

Proposed Narrow-Scope Amendments to ISA 6201

2. Do you agree that the proposed narrow-scope amendments to ISA 620 are appropriate to maintain interoperability with the new provisions in the Code related to using the work of an external expert?

(See EM, Section 1-C)

Overall response: Agree, with comments below

Detailed comments (if any):

We recommend targeted amendments to ISA 620 in response to paragraphs 390.19 and 390.20 of the IESBA Code. Paragraph A17 of ISA 620, which provides guidance on when the auditor may need to reconsider the initial evaluation of the competence, capabilities and objectivity of the auditor's expert as the audit progresses, may be a suitable place to include a reference for further evaluation required by the aforementioned IESBA Code paragraphs.

If you do not agree, what alternatives do you suggest (please identify the specific paragraphs and be specific as to why you believe the proposals are not appropriate, and why you believe your alternatives would be more appropriate)?

Detailed comments (if any):

¹ International Standard on Auditing (ISA) 620, Using the Work of an Auditor's Expert



.

Proposed Narrow-Scope Amendments to ISRE 2400 (Revised),² ISAE 3000 (Revised)³ and ISRS 4400 (Revised)⁴

3.1 Do you agree that the proposed narrow-scope amendments to ISRE 2400 (Revised) are consistent with the proposed amendments to ISA 620, and are appropriate to maintain interoperability with the new provisions in the Code related to using the work of an external expert?

(See EM, Section 1-D)

Overall response: Agree (with no further comments)

Detailed comments (if any):

If you do not agree, what alternatives do you suggest (please identify the specific paragraphs and be specific as to why you believe the proposals are not appropriate, and why you believe your alternatives would be more appropriate)?

Detailed comments (if any):

3.2 Do you agree that the proposed narrow-scope amendments to ISAE 3000 (Revised) are consistent with the proposed amendments to ISA 620, and are appropriate to maintain interoperability with the new provisions in the Code related to using the work of an external expert?

(See EM, Section 1-E)

Overall response: Agree (with no further comments)

Detailed comments (if any):

If you do not agree, what alternatives do you suggest (please identify the specific paragraphs and be specific as to why you believe the proposals are not appropriate, and why you believe your alternatives would be more appropriate)?

International Standards on Related Services (ISRS) 4400 (Revised), Agreed-upon Procedures Engagements



International Standard on Review Engagements (ISRE) 2400 (Revised), Engagements to Review Historical Financial Statements

International Standard on Assurance Engagements (ISAE) 3000 (Revised), Assurance Engagements Other than Audits or Reviews of Historical Financial Information

3.3 Do you agree that the proposed narrow-scope amendments to ISRS 4400 (Revised) are consi with the proposed amendments to ISA 620, and are appropriate to maintain interoperability the new provisions in the Code related to using the work of an external expert? (See EM, Section 1-F)	
Overall response: Agree (with no further comments) Detailed comments (if any):	
If you do not agree, what alternatives do you suggest (please identify the specific paragraphs be specific as to why you believe the proposals are not appropriate, and why you believe alternatives would be more appropriate)?	
Detailed comments (if any):	

Other Matters

4. Are there any other matters you would like to raise in relation to the ED? If so, please clearly indicate the standard(s), and the specific requirement(s) or application material, to which your comment(s) relate.

Overall response: Yes, with comments below



Amendments to other standards

IAASB to consider whether the amendments should also be included in ISRE 2410 Review of Interim Financial Information Performed by the Independent Auditor of the Entity.

Implementation support and guidance

One of the key concerns relates to the ability of professional accountants to obtain the relevant information from external experts (such as details on financial interests, relationships, independence and other ethical considerations) as required by the IESBA Code.

While professional accountants are required to comply with the IESBA Code, external experts may not be subjected to the same requirements. This creates a potential gap that needs to be addressed to ensure compliance. As such, stakeholder engagement is crucial to support professional accountants in obtaining the necessary information from external experts. To support effective implementation, the IAASB and IESBA can play a key role in increasing outreach to the external expert community to raise awareness of the expectations placed on professional accountants and the types of information needed from them to comply with the requirements.

Guidance on practical steps PAs can reasonably take to meet the requirements, including how the auditors can practically obtain information about financial interests or relationships from external experts in writing would be helpful. One area that could benefit from clarification is whether documentation in the engagement letter would be sufficient.

Part C: Request for General Comments

The IAASB is also seeking comments on the matters set out below:

5. Translations—Recognizing that many respondents may intend to translate the final narrow-scope amendments for adoption in their own environments, the IAASB welcomes comment on potential translation issues respondents note in reviewing the ED.

Overall response: No response



6. Effective Date—Given the public interest benefit of aligning the effective date of these proposed narrow-scope amendments with the effective date of the revised Code provisions related to using the work of an external expert, the IAASB believes that an appropriate implementation period would be approximately 12 months after the PIOB's process of certification of the final narrow-scope amendments. The IAASB welcomes comments on whether this would provide a sufficient period to support effective implementation of the narrow-scope amendments.

(See EM, Section 1-G)

Overall response: No response

